

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/071,475	VUKOVIC ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
CHRISTINE DUONG	2416	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) CHRISTINE DUONG.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Lalita W. Pace.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 24 August 2009

**Time:** 1:00 PM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 3, 4, 6, 7

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Christine Duong/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2416

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed claims 1, 4 with 101 rejection of a method not being tied to an apparatus; Discussed amending claims 2 and 3 into 1; Discussed amending claims 5 and 6 into 4; Discussed amending claim 9 into 7 and adding the limitation "if the number of NAKs is equal to an amount of NAKs required to fill an over-the-air frame"; Discussed adding the label "prior art" to figures 1-3.